March 16, 2022

The Honorable Patty Murray
Chair, Senate Committee on Health, Education,
Labor and Pensions
154 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Richard Burr
Ranking Member, Senate Committee on Health,
Education, Labor and Pensions
217 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Frank Pallone Chair, House Committee on Energy & Commerce 2107 Rayburn HOB Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Cathy McMorris Rogers
Ranking Member, House Committee on Energy
& Commerce
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Re: The Verifying Accurate Leading-edge IVCT Development Act of 2021

Dear Senators Murray and Burr and Representatives Pallone and McMorris Rogers:

The undersigned institutions represent a broad community of healthcare professionals concerned with delivering high-quality care to patients throughout the United States. As Congress considers the Verifying Accurate Leading-edge IVCT Development (VALID) Act of 2021, or any other legislation to change regulations for laboratory developed tests (LDTs), we urge you to allow sufficient time to evaluate such legislation <u>independently</u>, proceeding under regular order to allow for thorough consideration.

The VALID Act is a complex bill proposing dramatic modifications to current oversight mechanisms and thus has the potential to significantly impact many clinical testing laboratories, healthcare providers, and patients throughout the United States. Careful consideration must be given to the entirety of the bill to avoid unintended consequences that may ultimately restrict innovation and harm patient access to clinical testing. A wide range of stakeholders, including supporters of the VALID Act, still have numerous and significant concerns, and discussions with sponsors and relevant committees are ongoing. Further, the COVID-19 pandemic has revealed important lessons about the regulation of laboratory testing and its effects on testing capacity within the United States that should be thoughtfully considered in the context of the VALID Act. To allow for thorough discussions and appropriate stakeholder engagement, it is important that this legislation go through regular order with its own hearing, mark-up, and scheduled votes.

Specifically, we ask that Congress consider legislation that would significantly alter the regulation of laboratory testing (such as the VALID Act) separately from the Medical Device User Fee Agreement (MDUFA) V legislative process. Legislation to reauthorize MDUFA will reflect an agreement negotiated between the FDA and the medical device industry it fully regulates, entailing policy issues and considerations that have been discussed and debated before that agreement is even transmitted to Congress. These negotiations involve different stakeholders than those relevant to the reform of the regulatory oversight of LDTs; thus, it is inappropriate to associate the VALID Act with MDUFA. Further,

MDUFA reauthorization will proceed on an expedited basis because of both the FDA's agreement with medical device stakeholders and the necessity to reauthorize legislation before the current agreement expires at the end of the fiscal year.

More time and diverse stakeholder agreement is needed to undertake substantial reform of regulatory oversight of LDTs. For these reasons, the undersigned institutions ask that the VALID Act be considered under regular order, not attached to a must-pass vehicle, to allow for careful consideration and development of sound policy that is in the best interest of patients.

Sincerely,

Akron Children's Hospital
ARUP Laboratories
Columbia University Irving Medical Center
Intermountain Healthcare
Medical College of Wisconsin
NorthShore University HealthSystem
Penn State Health Hershey Medical Center
Sun Diagnositcs
TriCore

Tulane University

UC Health System, Cincinnati, OH

Penn State College of Medicine

University of California Davis Health

University of California Health

University of California Irvine Health

University of California San Diego Health

University of California, Los Angeles Health

University of California, San Francisco

University of Chicago Medical Center

University of Iowa Health Care

University of Rochester Medical Center

University of Vermont Health Network

University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health

UW Medicine (University of Washington)

Weill Cornell Medicine

Department of Pathology, Heersink School of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham

Department of Pathology, Albert Einstein College of Medicine

Department of Pathology, Duke University School of Medicine

Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, ECU Brody SOM

Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Emory University Hospital Midtown

Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine

Department of Health Services Laboratories, Los Angeles County

Department of Pathology, University of Illinois at Chicago
Department of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine, University of Louisville School of Medicine
Department of Pathology & Anatomical Sciences, University of Missouri School of Medicine/U Missouri
Health Care

Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Penn Medicine Department of Pathology University of Virginia School of Medicine